

ESG Engagement Example

Q3 2023

BACKGROUND

In May of this year, a major, globally significant Canadian bank and a regional U.S. financial services firm jointly announced the mutually agreed termination of their merger, citing the bank's uncertainty regarding the timeline of regulatory approvals. This announcement prompted us to question the potential reasons for not receiving approval, including the correlation to the bank's deficient AML controls and the impact on its growth and capital deployment strategy.

A few days after this announcement, the Wall Street Journal reported that the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and the Fed's concerns stemmed from the bank's handling of unusual transactions and its timeliness of reporting suspicious activity. As a result, two lawsuits were filed against the issuer in May and June by the shareholders of the company being merged into the bank over the failed acquisition and misleading statements regarding the likelihood of regulatory approval, in addition to the failure to disclose deficient AML procedures and policies.

Additionally, Sustainalytics downgraded the outlook for the bank's Business Ethics from Category 2 to Category 3, Neutral. We felt these events and the subsequent rating change required engaging with the bank's management team to address our questions.

ENGAGEMENT FOCUS

1. AML Compliance

Disclosed that they have been on top of and responding to inquiries from regulatory authorities including U.S. Department of Justice on matters concerning the bank's AML compliance program in the U.S. and anticipates monetary and non-monetary penalties to be imposed. The size of both impacts is difficult to estimate at the time we spoke as the discussions with regulators are still ongoing.

2. Operational Risks

Litigation issues mean the bank must hold additional operational risk capital, which stays with the bank for the next 10 years as it cannot be reversed very quickly. Monetary penalty is possible and there may be additional expenses to cure any deficiencies. Impact will be longer-term, but we believe the impacts on both expenses and capital are manageable.

3. Growth Plans

Management confirmed the bank's strategy for growth in the Southeast region of the U.S. has not changed. In our view, it is a positive sign that the bank has already been using excess capital into growing that market organically through new branches in targeted cities and regions.

We also discussed the bank's recent activity in the market with a few unsecured bond issuances. Management explained that the bank is pursuing healthy growth in the U.S. and Canadian market, which requires liquidity and has driven the need for additional wholesale funding.

PROGRESS & MONITORING

In our view, the cessation of the deal works favourably for the bank's creditors from a fundamental perspective. The bank's regulatory capital levels solidly at the top of the peers. Moreover, we believe the timing is not optimal for closing this substantial U.S. regional bank deal given the current turmoil in the U.S. banking space.

We will continue to monitor the bank's plans to enhance their U.S. AML compliance program and any impact on operational risks as discussions continue between the bank and regulators. AML deficiency is of concern; however, at this time, it is important to continue engaging with the management team to understand how the team will address the issue and what the magnitude of the impact will be once discussions with regulators come to a close.

Following this engagement, given our analysis of both ESG and fundamental risk factors, we did not believe it necessary to change our position in this banking issuer as the issue was not deemed material enough to change our view on the issuer as a whole.

Important Information

The information herein is presented by RP Investment Advisors LP (“RPIA”) and is for informational purposes only. It does not provide financial, legal, accounting, tax, investment, or other advice and should not be acted or relied upon in that regard without seeking the appropriate professional advice. The information is drawn from sources believed to be reliable, but the accuracy or completeness of the information is not guaranteed, nor in providing it does RPIA assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever. The information provided may be subject to change and RPIA does not undertake any obligation to communicate revisions or updates to the information presented. Unless otherwise stated, the source for all information is RPIA. The information presented does not form the basis of any offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of securities. Products and services of RPIA are only available in jurisdictions where they may be lawfully offered and to investors who qualify under applicable regulation.

“Forward-Looking” statements are based on assumptions made by RPIA regarding its opinion and investment strategies in certain market conditions and are subject to a number of mitigating factors. Economic and market conditions may change, which may materially impact actual future events and as a result RPIA’s views, the success of RPIA’s intended strategies as well as its actual course of conduct. RPIA is a signatory of the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and as part of our commitment, we consider Environmental, Social & Governance (“ESG”) factors as part of our firm-level activities, including our investment process. ESG factors are important considerations in our investment management process but is supplemental to our primary financial and credit research and analysis functions.

ESG factors that may be considered as part of our investment process include matters relating to climate change, energy use, energy efficiency, emissions, waste, pollution, matters related to human rights, impact on local communities, labour practices, employee working conditions, health and safety of the employees and affiliates, employee relations and diversity, executive compensation, bribery and corruption, board independence, board composition and diversity, alignment of interest between the shareholders and the executives, shareholder rights, and companies’ policies relating to ESG.

ESG integration, including components relating to issuer engagement, is a firm-wide investment approach but the weight and importance of it in our investment management process can vary across the investment funds we manage. Always refer to the relevant fund offering documents for important information on the investment objectives, strategies and associated risks of a particular fund. The consideration and implementation of ESG factors are also subject to RPIA’s internal investment and risk management policies and may be revised as a result of investment suitability requirements, current portfolio positioning and external market and economic factors.

The consideration of ESG factors in the investment process for RP Strategic Income Plus Fund and RP Alternative Global Bond Fund is weighted less than the core financial and credit analysis employed in the management of these funds. Please see the [simplified prospectus](#) for additional information.